From: Scott Grinthal (sgrinthal@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Saturday, October 19, 2024 7:23 AM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

prioritize the ecosystem!

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails

to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

- * Expand Contamination Standards\nBroaden the EJ standard to include contamination risks from nonemergent groundwater rise, not just flooding, and add a contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.
- 4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Scott Grinthal

From: Annika Belzer (annikagbelzer@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Saturday, October 19, 2024 9:36 AM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Annika Belzer

From: Joshua Saltsman (jsaltsman92@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Thursday, October 24, 2024 8:33 AM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Joshua Saltsman

From: John Hagopian (tortoise48@netscape.net) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Thursday, October 24, 2024 2:27 PM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

John Hagopian



City of Goster City

ESTERO MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

610 FOSTER CITY BOULEVARD FOSTER CITY, CA 94404-2222 (650) 286-3200 FAX (650) 286-3589

October 24, 2024

Public comments transmitted via: publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov

San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission 375 Beale Street, Suite 510 San Francisco. CA 94105

RE: Draft Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP): Sea Level Rise Planning Guidance (SB 272) – Public Comments

Dear San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on the Public Draft of the Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (Draft RSAP) and establishment of guidelines for the Preparation of Sea Level Rise Plans Pursuant to Senate Bill 272 (Laird, 2023).

On behalf of the City of Foster City, we are providing the following comments on the Draft RSAP:

1. <u>Under Section 3.4.1 Local Government Planning Responsibilities, sub-heading "Role of Cities"</u>

The language shall be revised to accurately articulate the requirements of SB 272. Specifically, the language should articulate that SB 272 requires that a local government in the San Francisco Bay develop <u>a sea level rise plan as part of a subregional plan</u>, not to have a local government individually develop a sea level rise plan on their own.

2. Under Section 3.4.3 Updating Plans, sub-heading "5-Year Limited Updates"

The language shall be revised to accurately articulate the requirements of SB 272. Specifically, the language shall be revised to <u>encourage</u> local governments to perform routine updates or amendments, but not <u>require</u> them. In addition, the consequences regarding failure to submit a limited update should be removed as it is beyond the requirements of SB 272.

3. Under Section 3.4.3 Updating Plans, sub-heading "10-Year Comprehensive Updates"

The language shall be revised to accurately articulate the requirements of SB 272. Specifically, the language shall be revised to <u>encourage</u> local governments to perform routine updates or amendments, but not require them. In addition, the consequences

regarding failure to submit a limited update should be removed as it is beyond the requirements of SB 272.

The City of Foster City has recently completed levee improvements in order to maintain FEMA accreditation and provide resilience against future sea level rise. The City wants to ensure our efforts for sea level rise adaptation are credited towards the goals of the subregional sea level rise plan.

Thank you for your consideration of our comments. Should you have any questions regarding our public comments, please contact Francine Magno at fmagno@fostercity.org or 650-286-3270.

Sincerely,

Stefan Chatwin (Oct 24, 2024 14:27 PDT)

Stefan Chatwin City Manager City of Foster City From: Michele Rappaport (michelerappaport@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 29, 2024 2:06 PM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

I live in a bay city. I want the plans for the future to take into account the wetlands and stay healthy so they can protect us and future residents.

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails

to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

- * Expand Contamination Standards\nBroaden the EJ standard to include contamination risks from nonemergent groundwater rise, not just flooding, and add a contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.
- 4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Michele Rappaport

From: Jennifer Lin (jenlinpa@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Sunday, November 3, 2024 5:18 PM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

Please consider the environment while evaluating the risk to cities. Having a healthy ecosystem is one of the reasons I continue to want to live in the Bay Area.

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails

to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

- * Expand Contamination Standards\nBroaden the EJ standard to include contamination risks from nonemergent groundwater rise, not just flooding, and add a contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.
- 4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Lin

From: Mark Bartleman (mbartleman86@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Monday, November 4, 2024 2:02 PM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mark Bartleman

From: Lindsay Mugglestone (lindsmuggl@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Monday, November 4, 2024 3:30 PM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Lindsay Mugglestone

From: Elizabeth Levy (bethy0543@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Monday, November 4, 2024 6:00 PM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Levy

From: Howard Cohen (howard@cohensw.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Wednesday, November 6, 2024 4:26 PM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

WARNING: This message is from an external source. Verify the sender and exercise caution when clicking links or opening attachments.

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing

contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

* Expand Contamination Standards\nBroaden the EJ standard to include contamination risks from nonemergent groundwater rise, not just flooding, and add a contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.

4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Howard Cohen 3272 Cowper Street Palo Alto, CA 94306 howard@cohensw.com (650) 555-1212

From: Madeleine Luneau (luneau.madeleine@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Wednesday, November 6, 2024 4:56 PM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Madeleine Luneau

From: Nora Coyle (Icsw89@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message <kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Wednesday, November 6, 2024 5:18 PM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Nora Coyle

From: Mary Stanistreet (mkstanistreet@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Wednesday, November 6, 2024 5:23 PM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mary Stanistreet

From: Darrell Neft (dsneft@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Wednesday, November 6, 2024 6:05 PM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Darrell Neft

From: Jim Stewart (drjimstewart@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Wednesday, November 6, 2024 8:37 PM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

We need to restore complete ecosystems so the Bay can recover completely!!!

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails

to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

- * Expand Contamination Standards\nBroaden the EJ standard to include contamination risks from nonemergent groundwater rise, not just flooding, and add a contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.
- 4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jim Stewart

From: Kali Krishnan (kalimaria3@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2024 12:29 AM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kali Krishnan

From: Davin Peterson (davinsemail@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2024 6:31 AM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Davin Peterson

From: Sandra Gamble (sl.gamble@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2024 6:55 AM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

Key Areas for Improvement\nTo sustainably and cost-effectively protect the Bay Area, please consider the following:

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

* Expand Contamination Standards\nBroaden the EJ standard to include contamination risks from nonemergent groundwater rise, not just flooding, and add a contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.

4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sandra Gamble

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.

From: Tia Triplett (tia@anlf.com) Sent You a Personal Message <kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2024 7:47 AM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

Key Areas for Improvement\nTo sustainably and cost-effectively protect the Bay Area, please consider the following:

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

* Expand Contamination Standards\nBroaden the EJ standard to include contamination risks from nonemergent groundwater rise, not just flooding, and add a contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.

4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Tia Triplett

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.

From: Amy Differding (okapi@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Saturday, November 9, 2024 8:58 PM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

Key Areas for Improvement\nTo sustainably and cost-effectively protect the Bay Area, please consider the following:

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

* Expand Contamination Standards\nBroaden the EJ standard to include contamination risks from nonemergent groundwater rise, not just flooding, and add a contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.

4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Amy Differding

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.

From: Mark Cappetta (mark@gsambc.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Sunday, November 10, 2024 2:35 PM

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

Key Areas for Improvement\nTo sustainably and cost-effectively protect the Bay Area, please consider the following:

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

* Expand Contamination Standards\nBroaden the EJ standard to include contamination risks from nonemergent groundwater rise, not just flooding, and add a contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.

4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mark Cappetta

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.

From: Caephren McKenna (caephren@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Monday, November 11, 2024 9:46 AM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

Key Areas for Improvement\nTo sustainably and cost-effectively protect the Bay Area, please consider the following:

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.
- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??

* Expand Contamination Standards\nBroaden the EJ standard to include contamination risks from nonemergent groundwater rise, not just flooding, and add a contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.

4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Caephren McKenna

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.





SAVE BAY























foothills

green











San Francisco San Francisco San Francisco San Francisco San Francisco

San Francisco San Francisco San Francisco









































JOINT STATEMENT TO BCDC URGING SUPPORT FOR ROBUST RSAP NOVEMBER 13, 2024

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) presents an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard a thriving and resilient future for the San Francisco Bay and its surrounding communities so that our economy and communities can thrive. The undersigned organizations are united in our belief that a strong, regionally coordinated approach to sea level rise adaptation must affirmatively prioritize equity, natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS), and safety from contamination threats.

BCDC's Draft RSAP Shows Strong Regional Leadership

We applaud BCDC's efforts to ensure a holistic, strategic, and forward-looking regional response to sea level rise that protects both vulnerable communities and a broad spectrum of public "assets," including the societal and economic benefits provided by our Bay habitats. The RSAP charts a course toward safe and sustainable development and infrastructure. The RSAP's One Bay Vision brings the whole region together to promote collaboration, protect shared assets and achieve common goals. The RSAP's minimum criteria and standards set key parameters and define a framework of priorities that must be addressed, while leaving substantial flexibility for localities to design strategies tailored to specific local needs, resources and opportunities, consistent with the framework.

Concern About Calls To Weaken the RSAP

We are deeply concerned about recent calls to weaken the RSAP's required Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) in order to allow greater flexibility, and even create new incentives, to enable more shoreline development. This would undermine efforts to preserve and expand natural and nature-based solutions for resilience to long term sea level rise. Shoreline ecosystems—wetlands, tidal marshes, and other vital habitats—are irreplaceable, offering unique and critical benefits to local communities that cannot be relocated, unlike housing and other development, which can be sited away from vulnerable shorelines. Bay ecosystems have specific ecological needs that only the Bay can provide.

The RSAP's required Standards are essential to ensure that all local jurisdictions contribute to a **unified**, **coordinated**, **and effective approach** to sea level rise adaptation. Weakening these Standards to favor development in these fragile and essential areas would enable localities to pursue unsustainable land use practices that subsequently put more people and resources at risk of sea level rise impacts.

Downgrading the RSAP's Adaptation Strategy Standards from **requirements** to **mere recommendations** (shifting from "must" to "should"), would represent a significant step backward, sending the wrong message: that development interests can override the urgent need for regional climate adaptation. This would allow individual localities to adopt shoreline development and sea level rise adaptation strategies that fragment the region's response, undermine the intent of the Standards, increase long-term costs, and lack accountability to regional long range goals. The RSAP would become just another toothless source of "advice," defeating the purpose of regional coordination.

Why Regional Coordination is Key

Regional coordination is essential to ensure that the entire Bay Area plans for sea level rise in a way that is equitable, comprehensive, and effective. A coordinated regional approach supports localized adaptation strategies while avoiding negative cross jurisdictional impacts, such as deflecting wave energy and associated flooding to other communities. It establishes a common framework to safeguard vital public assets and infrastructure—such as Baylands ecosystems and their natural resilience functions, transportation networks, and emergency services—upon which the entire region depends.

Importantly, it also ensures that the needs of historically marginalized and frontline communities are prioritized, preventing adaptation solutions from entrenching existing inequities. By defining a consistent set of regional priorities, setting minimum criteria and standards, and elevating community voices, the RSAP helps level the playing field and reduces the potential outsize influence of special interests and the traditional inclination toward short-term planning.

A Call to Strengthen, Not Water Down, the RSAP

We, the undersigned organizations, are united in our strong opposition to weakening the RSAP's Standards and in our vigorous support for centering equity and natural and nature-based solutions throughout the RSAP. We urge you to strengthen the RSAP by placing a greater emphasis on NNBS, defining regional habitat goals or metrics, and addressing key gaps in the Adaptation Strategy Standards, particularly related to contamination risks from toxic sites along the Bay shoreline. Additionally, we urge you to provide additional guidance over competing land use demands by prioritizing public trust rights and resources over privatized benefits.

We ask BCDC to uphold its leadership in this effort by maintaining the integrity of the RSAP, resisting efforts to weaken its Standards, and addressing the remaining gaps described above and detailed at greater length in previous letters during the public comment period. Strong regional Standards ensure that environmental protection, public safety, and equitable outcomes remain central to *all* shoreline planning efforts. Please continue to champion a bold vision for a resilient Bay Area and an RSAP that secures a safe and sustainable future for the Bay and all our communities for generations to come.

Sincerely,

Arthur Feinstein

Co-Chair Sierra Club Bay Alive Committee

Carin High

Co-Chair
Citizens Committee to Complete the Refuge

David Lewis

Executive Director

Save the Bay

Laura Neish

Executive Director

350 Bay Area

Kat Broomall Alice Kaufman

Coordinating Committee Policy and Advocacy Director

1000 Grandmothers for Future Generations Green Foothills

Dr. Anne Ferguson Glenn Phillips
Executive Director Executive Director

Bay Area Older Adults Golden Gate Bird Alliance

Lisa Belenky Skylar Sacoolas

Senior Counsel Environmental Justice Organizer

Center for Biological Diversity Greenaction for Health and Environmental

Justice

Shirley Dean

Board President Deb Kramer

Robert Cheasty Executive Director

Executive Director Keep Coyote Creek Beautiful

Citizens for East Shore Parks

Dr. Hollis Pierce-Jenkins
Jennifer Clary Executive Director

California Director Literacy for Environmental Justice

Clean Water Action

Eliza Nemser Executive Director Ruestra Casa

Climate Changemakers

William Hoppes

Cade Cannedy President

Program Director Ohlone Audubon Society

Climate Resilient Communities

Eric Brooks

Una JM Glass Campaign Coordinator

Board President Our City SF

Coastwalk California

Lynn Adams

Leah Redwood President

Coordinator Pacific Beach Coalition

Extinction Rebellion San Francisco Bay Area

Pam Stello

Chris MacIntosh Co-Chair

Board Member Point Molate Alliance

Friends of Bedwell Bayfront Park

Richmond Progressive Alliance

Janet S. Johnson

Co-Chair

Richmond Shoreline Alliance

Julio Garcia

Executive Director Rise South City

Aundi Mevoli Staff Scientist

San Francisco Baykeeper

Skylar Sacoolas

Co-Coordinator

San Francisco Bay Shoreline Contamination

Cleanup Coalition

on behalf of:

> 350 San Francisco

> Marin City Climate Resilience & Health Justice

> Breathe

Climate Reality Project Bay Area

Greenaction for Health & Environmental Justice

Bayview Hunters Point Mothers & Fathers Committee

Sunflower Alliance

> Richmond Shoreline Alliance

> Extinction Rebellion SF Bay

➤ Our City SF

> SF Bay Keeper

Citizens for East Shore Parks (CESP)

Marie Harrison Community Foundation

West Oakland Environmental Indicators Project

> Youth vs. Apocalypse

Climate Resilient Communities

Kristina Pappas

President

San Francisco League of Conservation Voters

Shani Kleinhaus

Environmental Advocate

Santa Clara Valley Bird Alliance

Leslie Flint

Conservation Committee Sequoia Audubon Society

Ze-Kun Li

Executive Director

Silicon Valley Youth Climate Action

Norman La Force

President

SPRAWLDEF (Sustainability, Parks, Recycling,

and Wildlife Legal Defense Fund)

Sarah Atkinson

Hazard Resilience Senior Policy Manager

SPUR (San Francisco Bay Area Planning and

Urban Research Association)

Nina Atkind

San Francisco Chapter Manager

Surfrider Foundation San Francisco

Sarah Hubbard

Executive Director

Sustainable San Mateo County

From: Lawrence Danos (Idanos@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2024 4:24 PM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> Subject: Strengthening the RSAP for a Resilient Bay Area

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

As a fan of the Bay for at least 50 years, I would like to see it protected and made into a Bay Area show piece. I'm also an avid Birder with a special love for the various species of bay birds, including those that migrate here every year. Their habitats are in danger in many places in the world, but let the San Francisco Bay be a place of refuge along their long journeys.

Dear BCDC Commission,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) will shape the future of our region, my community, for years to come. Our Bay waters, shoreline habitats, and communities depend on getting this right.

Commendable Progress, But More Is Needed\nThe RSAP makes important strides by integrating equity assessments at every stage of planning and setting some strong Adaptation Strategy Standards (Standards) related to Baylands ecosystems.?

However, there are critical gaps in the Standards, an insufficient emphasis on natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) across plan elements, and a disconnect between the Standards and the rest of the RSAP. Addressing these issues is vital to avoid confusion, ensure compliance, and realize the RSAP?s One Bay Vision.

Key Areas for Improvement\nTo sustainably and cost-effectively protect the Bay Area, please consider the following:

- 1. Prioritize ecosystem health and NNBS at every step\nNNBS offer a cost-effective, win-win approach to SLR. Baylands ecosystems?essential to our communities, our economy, and global biodiversity?are on the front lines of the crisis, both facing risk and offering opportunity. These natural ecosystems provide vital services like flood control, pollution filtration, and climate regulation that our communities depend upon. Yet, SLR threatens their survival just when their resilience tools are most needed.?
- * The RSAP should prioritize Baylands health by making NNBS and habitat ecosystems core considerations for every aspect of SLR plan development. As an example, mapping of opportunities for NNBS, including habitat migration, should be included in the checklists for each Strategic Regional Priority.
- 2. Clarify requirements and emphasize ecosystem health standards\nInconsistent reference to requirements between and among the Vision Statements, the ?required assets and actions? in Section 2, and the Standards in Section 3 may create confusion and hinder progress. Much like the equity assessment, Ecosystem health Standards should be explicitly required in each RSAP element and checklist, ensuring clarity, compliance, and successful implementation.

- 3. Strengthen Groundwater and Contamination Standards\nSLR poses significant contamination risks from toxic sites and landfills along the shoreline. While the RSAP includes a narrow standard for reducing contamination risks due to flooding in socially vulnerable, environmental justice (EJ) communities, it fails to address non-emergent groundwater rise and soil disruption related to adaptation projects themselves. The RSAP currently also lacks any contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.??
- * Expand Contamination Standards\nBroaden the EJ standard to include contamination risks from nonemergent groundwater rise, not just flooding, and add a contamination Standard for non-EJ communities.

4. Define Metrics for Habitat Goals

The RSAP includes a Standard that requires protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of Baylands habitats to ?meet habitat goals,? but these goals are neither defined or quantified.?

* The RSAP should establish clear, measurable habitat goals and require each local plan to specify how it will contribute to them, and BCDC should monitor and report on progress toward these targets to ensure accountability and success.

This is an unprecedented opportunity to safeguard the long-term health and resilience of the San Francisco Bay and its communities. The RSAP has the potential to protect vulnerable populations and ecosystems, but only if it includes stronger, clearer, and more specific guidelines that prioritize natural and sustainable solutions. Please strengthen the RSAP in these key areas to ensure the One Bay Vision becomes reality and secure a resilient future for all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Danos

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.







December 2, 2024

Zachary Wasserman Commission Chair San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission 375 Beale Street, Suite 510 San Francisco, CA 94105

Re: Final Adoption of the Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan

Dear Chair Wasserman and Commissioners,

Adoption of the Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) marks a major milestone for the Bay Area's climate adaptation goals. We are honored to have been active participants in the plan's development process, and we congratulate the Commission and staff for the completion of this effort. We believe the plan before you represents significant improvements on previous iterations.

Thank you for making critical adjustments to the Adaptation Strategy Standards to provide flexibility for local jurisdictions while upholding the region's broader resilience objectives. Removing prescriptive "must" language and rephrasing the standards to outcomes-based guidance is a significant improvement, ensuring that local governments can tailor their adaptation strategies to align with our region's pressing housing and economic development goals. This change is essential to ensuring that local jurisdictions meet their obligations to permit new housing, and we appreciate the Commission's recognition of this balance.

While the RSAP is a major step forward for adaptation planning, we remain anxious about the plan's approach to development. As the subregional shoreline adaptation plans begin to take shape, it is clear that the public sector does not have the financial capacity to deliver the necessary projects alone. The private sector must play an essential role in implementing shoreline adaptation solutions. Leveraging development where it is appropriate to finance adaptation strategies may be a critical pathway to closing the funding gap.

We see this as a missed opportunity for the RSAP. While the plan lays a solid foundation for resilience, it could have gone further to affirmatively encourage local jurisdictions to design shoreline adaptation plans and policies that attract private investment. By aligning adaptation efforts with strategies that incentivize and leverage private capital, the Bay Area could unlock the funding necessary to implement transformative shoreline projects that protect communities, create jobs, and foster economic growth.

Without an explicit and concerted effort to attract private investment, we will fail to adapt the region's shoreline in time. The Bay Adapt Process has taken meaningful steps to engage private sector leaders, and we are hopeful that those conversations continue.

Mindful that the RSAP is likely to be amended and adapted as implementation rolls out, we encourage the Commission and staff to add new guidance in the future to explicitly encourage local jurisdictions and subregional shoreline adaptation plans to adopt policies that will attract private investment in the shoreline.

We thank you again for your leadership in advancing the RSAP and your commitment to protecting the Bay Area's people, environment, and economy in the face of sea level rise. We look forward to continuing to work alongside the Commission and other stakeholders to achieve our shared climate adaptation, resilience, housing, and economic goals.

Sincerely,

Jim Wunderman President & CEO Bay Area Council

Paul Campos
Senior Vice President of Governmental Affairs & General Counsel
Building Industry Association | Bay Area

Joanne Webster CEO North Bay Leadership Council

Miguel Mauricio Legal & Policy Director East Bay Leadership Council

Rosanne Foust
President and CEO
San Mateo County Economic Development Association (SAMCEDA)



December 4, 2024

Dear BCDC Commissioners,

The Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP) is an unprecedented opportunity to ensure a thriving and resilient future for the San Francisco Bay's ecosystems, communities and economies in the face of sea level rise. A strong, regionally coordinated approach that directs the entire region to prioritize equity, natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS) and safety from contamination threats is not only desired by Bay Area residents, but essential to carrying out the vision the RSAP was built upon.

We urge you to reject efforts to weaken the RSAP's Adaptation Strategy Standards. Recent calls to downgrade the RSAP's Standards, by converting mandates to mere recommendations, would undermine the shared One Bay Vision and negate the intent and efficacy of a coordinated regional response. Required minimum Standards are essential to ensure that environmental protection, public safety, and equitable outcomes remain central to all shoreline planning efforts.

Rather, the RSAP should be strengthened by placing greater emphasis on NNBS, defining regional habitat goals with metrics and addressing key gaps in the Standards, specifically related to contamination risks from toxic sites along the Bay shoreline.

Additionally, the RSAP should include more guidance over competing land-use demands to ensure that community and ecological well-being are at the forefront of our adaptation strategy. It is imperative we prioritize public trust rights and resources, over privatized benefits.

We ask BCDC to maintain the integrity of the RSAP and continue to demonstrate strong leadership in this regionally coordinated effort by addressing the aforementioned gaps and public concerns voiced during the public comment period.

Thank you for your careful efforts in this process. Please continue championing a bold vision for a thriving, resilient, and sustainable Bay Area by further strengthening the RSAP – not watering it down.

Signed,

Kristin Mercer Belmont, 94002



"Recommending" sustainable development plans does nothing to stop city's building on shorelines and bay fill where we know future tides and groundwater will make them unsafe. The short term lure of corporate payments and taxes is too strong.

Sandra Berry

Foster City, 94404

A consistent approach to Global Warming and saving the Bay is important and more effective than a haphazard approach.

Michael Bucher

San Mateo, 94401

A mandate is a mandate; pretending otherwise is is a rebuttal.

Jennine Kirby

Menlo Park, 94025

After years of industry and development destroying our water ecosystems, let us, as humans, try to mitigate the newest threat we have created that threatens further destruction: rising sea levels. We need strong restrictions and guidance on development.

Nancy Tierney

Pacifica, 94044

All of us living in the Bay Area care deeply about preserving a clean Bay, and implementing all steps to protect the essential ecosystems.

Paula Gocker

Oakland, 94611

As a grandmother and a retired teacher I care deeply not only about the world we live in but the world our young people will inherit. Please do the right thing and stand strongly to protect our beautiful shorelines.

Trina Warren

94063

As a grandmother, mother, and retired teachers, I am asking you to protect the future for our region's children. You have the chance to make a rigorous choice for their future. You have the chance to uphold strong protections for the Bay we all love. For

Email: sf.baychapter@sierraclub.org

Hod Gray

93436



As a native San Franciscan and an East By resident for many years, I join many others in welcoming a strong ad stronger RSAP.

Tracy Rogers

Redwood City, 94061

As an avid user of the Bay, I'm particularly concerned about the future of the Bay and surrounding communities.

Gail Raabe

REDWOOD CITY, 94063

Bay Area residents care about the health of the Bay, and the resounding vote for Measure AA establishing the San Fransisco Bay Restoration Authority for tidal marsh recovery, flood protection and public recreation is evidence of that region-wide passion.

Blair Sandler

San Francisco, 94124

BCDC downgraded requirements (NNBS solutions) to recommendations. Shame! It's our lives for which you have responsibility. Fulfill your mandate! Do your job! Sustainability is not just an option, it's an obligation.

Heather Williams

Mountain View, 94043

Because every thing matters, an ecosystem doesn't work alone. No plant left alone, Rachel Sanders, read The Silent Spring

Susan Herring

Pacifica, 94044

Birds and wildlife need to be protected, so please strengthen the RSAP, not water it down. Thank you.

Julie Dull

94080

California must lead!

CJ Maupin

San Francisco, 94111



Come on! Show some leadership. Particularly at this time when our federal government may be pulling funding from essential programs for clean air and water and a livable environment, we need to stand up and make this a must do instead of and if possible!

Yani Zou

Mountain View, 94040

Dear BCDC,

I have lived in bay area for 10 year. My house is beside the bay. I am relying on you to make right decision to protect us from sea level rise and ensure a resilient future for decades to come. Please protect our marshlands and strengthen the

Jerzy Guterman

Sunnyvale, 94086

Dear BCDC, please don't relent to developers. Please make sure that San Francisco Bay is protected and restored. All of us depend on the Bay's health and resilience.

E Nigenda

Palo AltoDear, 94303

Dear BCDC, please ensure that the Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan is as protective of our communities and ecosystems as possible. We need a document with strong requirements, not merely recommendations, that will withstand short term economic gain fo

Annie Myers

Oakland, 94605

Dear Members of the BCDC board, I teach stand up paddling (SUP) and am in the bay routinely, winter and summer. I am involved in introducing local children to the joys and challenges of kayaking and paddling. I also participate in guiding open water swi

Lynn Gordon

Los Altos, 94022

Do not downgrade RSAP by changing 'standards' to 'recommendations.' Recommendations carry no weight in this world. Enforceable standards do.

Kent Morris

92831

Do your job!



Kathleen Labriola

Berkeley, 94710

Don't give in to attempts to weaken RSAP! We need a strong plan!

Rod Kirk

San Jose, 95148

Don't let the RASP get chipped away!!

Ruby Mitchell

Cupertino, 95014

Don't Water Down Our Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan!

Ellen Leng

Walnut Creek, 94597

Early adaptation to anticipated climate change is the key to budgetary resilience. Being proactive in planning and adherence is a boost to current and future Californians.

C Swenning

Richmond, 94805

For once, we should listen to Mother Nature....

Dennis Jay Walton

95014

global warming, sucks, big time. ongoing slow motion (killer) sea rise, darn, same verse //same-as-the-first

Donna Scheifler

Redwood City, 94062

Having been born & raised in the San Francisco Bay Area, the Bay is precious to me. I urge

each of you on the Bay Conservation & Development Commission (BCDC) to strengthen the Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan (RSAP). Without strong actionable langua

Jim and Gloria Purcell

Belmont, 94002

Hold fast to your mission of keeping development in check and maintaining and restoring bay wetlands. Caving in to pressure to weaken Bay protection has always been a challenge; every tiny or large victory for decades is at stake every day, every year.



Richard Dale Epstein

Arlington, 22203

I am a California native living now on the East Coast after last living and working in Silicon Valley. I am still involved with the South San Francisco Bay and strongly support strong requirements to protect the Bay and its natural ecosystems. What you

Evan Elias

San Francisco, 94109

I am a member of the Embarcadero YMCA in San Francisco. Whenever it rains heavily, the building floods and the Y is forced to close. So dealing with sea level rise is already a present day reality for me.

I urge you to create the strongest RSAP you can.

Sam Butler

Los Angeles, CA 90045

I am a strong supporter of protecting eco-systems. This plan represents a great opportunity to finally provide a path forward to healthy shoreline eco-systems in the Bay area. I urge you to implement the strongest possible protections. Do not allow specia

Sarah Atkinson

San Francisco, 94117

I am from the East Bay and would like to continue growing my life and family here. Protecting Bay ecosystems, public access, and vulnerable communities will benefit everyone. Have strong goals and clear strategies in the RSAP will make a huge difference.

Tina Doede

Half Moon Bay, 94019

I am so proud to live in an area that appreciates the need to preserve wildlife and to plan for coming changes. The RSAP helps us prepare for the best possible future, including the many opportunities for positive change. Please ensure that the RSAP is

Valerie Stewart

Fremont, 94539

I am sure that meeting the challenges that climate change poses to our shoreline is of importance to you. You would not be sitting on this important commission and giving your time to planning important adaptations to regulating the uses around and near t



Judy Rosenfeld

San Francisco, 94110

I applaud the new parks built on our shoreline. I see and hear residents and so many tourists enjoying the beauty and learning about building sustainable ecosystems here. I appreciate the removal of toxic soil and the return of so many shore birds and pla

Carolyn Garbarino

Palo Alto, 94306

I bought my house in Palo Alto to be near the beautiful Baylands. For much needed recreation, for wildlife, and climate relief, please protect and preserve the Baylands with strong laws.

Jeanette King

Livermore, 94550

I do not live on the shore of the SF Bay but the Bay is still important to me in the Tri Valley.

Janet Somes

Cupertino, 95014

I grew up in the San Francisco Bay Area. A number of years ago, I returned, choosing to make it my home again. This place is incredible, both in the vibrant communities of people who live here and in the natural life that constantly delights and mystifies

David Cook

San Jose, 95135

I have been a California resident all my 73 years and enjoyed out wonderful climate and natural resources. With climate change, we must all work harder to protect what we have and prepare and mitigate the future damage we know is coming.

Debra Rose

San Rafael, 94901

I have been swimming in SF Bay for 30 years and want to continue to see and swim in a healthy and cleaner bay please!!

Email: sf.baychapter@sierraclub.org

Brenda Eberle

San Jose, 95118



I have lived in the Bay Area for over thirty years because I enjoy the culture and environment, including access to recreational activities both on land and water. I strongly believe that the best way to care for our communities and environment in the Ba

Albert Henning

Palo Alto, 94303

I have worked on Bay environmental issues since 1979. Bair Island was a particular success.

Just because more housing is needed urgently, does not mean we must soften or sacrifice environmental standards. My home lies in a flood plain at 13 feet above

Jane Perry

Oakland, 94618

I hope you and your loved ones are safe and healthy with everything you all need. Thank you for your protections to our beloved regional shoreline.

I urge you to stay strong in your efforts to ensure a robust Adaptation Plan. We are all partners in nu

Cris Lewis

Half Moon Bay, 94019

I just read an article in Scientific American: California is about due for a catastrophic flood that has occurred every 200 yrs since 500 AD. We need to protect our Bay as much as possible.

Janet Carpinelli

San Francisco, 94107

I live a few blocks from the Eastern side of the Bay in San Francisco and I have seen the sea rising in the past few years with resiultant erosion of the shoreline. Soft edge-nature based solutions are the natural answer. Do not allow more hard edge s on

Rosalind Patterson

Mill Valley, 94941

I live at sea level. Sea level rise could really affect my community.

Kristin Morgan



Belmont, 94002

I live by the Bay and have boated around it's inlets in Redwood Shores. Please don't weaken the plan for sea level rise! We only get one chance at this.

Arthur Keller

Palo Alto, 94303

I live in a flood zone from bay flooding. We must address flooding now!

Bowman Ching

Sunnyvale, 94085

I live in Sunnyvale. We are in the lowlands on the south end of the SF Bay. I'm worried about the rising sea levels and the effect on my city and neighborhoods. Please protect us from Climate Change and make the RSAP stronger. Keep requirements. Don't wea

Gary Shamshoian

Cupertino, 95014

I love and need nature, animals, plants, birds, fish, insects and microbes to share with my grandkids.

Virginia Leslie

Milpitas, 95035

I love living in the Bay Area, and I want every step than can be taken to protect it be used.

Heike Fischer

Palo Alto, 94301

I moved to the Bay Area because I love the unique outdoors around here, including our beautiful shoreline. Let's preserve this for generations to come to extent we can!

Kristen Manies

Sunnyvale, 94086

I see how sea level rise is hurting other communities. Let's not have that happen here.

Kathy Battat

Hillsborough, 94010

I urge you to strengthen this weakened version of the Regional Shoreline Adaptation plan!



Margaret Brannigan

San Jose, 95139

I want my children, and their children, to be able to enjoy a heatlhy and resilient coastal community.

Charles Schafer

Los Gatos, 95030

I want to continue supporting the millions of birds that use the marshes and bay as a stopover for resting and feeding as they travel along the all-important Pacific Flyway.

E Muriel Gravina

Palo Alto, 94301

I want to preserve our planet Earth for my children and all future generations including animals.

Marcia Edelen

Berkeley, 94703

I''m a resident of Berkeley (60+ yrs) who is active in climate change mitigation groups locally. Please consider boosting the adaptation & preservation of our Bay coastlines as a regional effort.

Michael Dack

Sacramento, 95827

I'm a grandfather who's concerned about the future for all the children, and those who will come after them!

Andrea Windom

Mill Valley, 94941

I'm a mom, and a nurse. Protecting our shorelines is vital to a healthy Bay ecosystem, and a wholesome future for all.

Nishanga Bliss

Berkeley, 94702

I'm a mother, so worried about our climate and our collective future. Please act now to protect both!

Email: sf.baychapter@sierraclub.org

Sivasankari Krishnanji

95051



I'm writing to express my strong support for the RSAP, which I believe is vital to increasing our resilience in the face of climate change. Rising sea levels pose significant risks to our communities, ecosystems and local economies, and addressing these c

Cynthia Denny

San Jose, 95118

I've been a wetlands and coastal activist for about 15 years and strongly agree that the RSAP should not be weakened. It already hard enough to keep our heads above water!

Jill Hebert

Redwood City, 94064

If you adopt this plan then resign you do not deserve to have your position!

Carol Rothmao

Berkeley, 94703

Im a Grandmother. Future generations need a thriving Bay Area with nature not contamination.

Margaret Goodale

Pacifica, 94044

In my 80 years in the Bay Area I have seen the Bay shrink. Allowing the RSAP to be weakened will only result in more degradation.

Pamela Montgomery

Saratoga, 95070

It is essential that we protect our shorelines for people, plant and animal life. We cannot let flooding destroy entire ecosystems in addition to our cities, along the coast. There are ways to protect shorelines that enhance recreation, the natural envi

Nancy Federspiel

Menlo Park, 94025

It is extremely critical to make the RSAP as strong as possible in light of likely efforts by the upcoming Trump administration to weaken any/all environmental protections that they can in favor of development. We must stand firm in California to safeguar

Elizabeth Lobos

Concord, 94520



It is foolish to ignore the increasing threats to our shoreline communities and necessary to have a coordinated response.

Marjorie Atkinson

Albany, 94706

It is imperative we have an adaptive shoreline on the bay. Please maintain the Regional shoreline Adaptation Plan and do not cowtow to real estate or partisan interests for our shoreline.

Respectfully, Marge Atkinson Former Albany Mayor Retired Tea

Eileen McLaughlin

San Jose, 95129

It is time to recover the tidal marshes and the high-intensity carbon capture they provide as a counter to climate change. It is critical to require consideration of such nature-based adaptation everywhere on the Bay shoreline. We need to recapture the cl

Saundra Hodges

Castro Valley, 94546

It is very clear based on the king tides and increasing challenges protecting shoreline facilities that our plan needs to be strong!

Kendyll Stansbury

Redwood City, 94061

It seems insane to allow shoreline development when all predictions are for a BIG sea level rise; the only question is the timing. Please restore the previous language.

Sheila Brady

Woodside, 94062

It will be so easy in future to allow exceptions which will weaken the overall defense of the bay for everyone. If we don't START in a strong position of protection and conservation, we will never be able to successfully defend the existence of a vital ba

Mary Kowalski

Mountain View, 94040

It's important for my grandchildren's future.



Tim Laidman

El Cerrito, 94530

It's very important that loopholes are eliminated and that strong rules are mandated not merely recommended. This is critical to protect the bay. I have actively been engaged with protecting my shoreline for decades from the efforts to save Point Molate t

Emily Conway

San Francisco, 94114

keep the bay beautiful!! work to take preventative action against devastating damage to animal habitats in the face of rising sea level

Colleen Cabot

95132

Keep the shoreline protections in place - climate change sea level rise is happening now!

Alexa Mataczynski

San Francisco, 94117

Living in the Bay Area, I've seen firsthand how special this place is—the incredible diversity of landscapes, wildlife, and communities all connected by the shoreline. But with rising sea levels and climate change, it's clear that these things I love are

Fatha Khalsa

San Jose, 95126

My family & friends enjoy recreation on the Bay and shoreline. Please strengthen the RSAP for future generations.

Peggy Merz

Novato, 94947

My name is Peggy and I was born here in Marin County in 1948. I have spent all of my 76 years of life living in Marin County. Because of this, I have a connection to the Bay Area that many people who live here do not. I am also a grandmother and great gra

Connie Rogers

95020

Now is not the time to weaken the plan for sea-level rising. Now the court has weighed in on supporting the Coastal Commission's plans for sea level rising, you should follow their lead.



Marla Forsgren

Gilroy, 95020

Now is the time to be bold with the planet's future! We're all in this together

Matthew R Clark

El Granada, 94018

Our shorelines--we live ~250 yards from one--need stronger resiliency, not recommendations for less.

Anita Moreci

SUNNYVALE, 94087

PELASE DO THE RIGHT THING!!!

Dennis Jay Walton

cupertino, ca, 95014

Personal messages make a big impact on decision makers. Please add a note about why this issue matters to you!

personal message makes a big impact...

Jade P. Jones

San Francisco, 94103

Plans to protect air and water, wilderness and wildlife are in fact plans to protect man. - Stewart Udall

Roberta Hannibal

San Bruno, 94066

Please adapt to rising sea levels with thoughtful, long-term solutions!

Gary Bailey

Sunnyvale, 94087

Please create a sea level rise plan that protects the Bay and its wetlands and marshes, with natural protections.

Thank you

Susan Bernhard

Menlo Park, 94025



Please do not build on existing marshland, save it to provide its ecosystem services. Build inland closer to transit and amenities.

Ruth Tretbar

Oakland, 94610

Please don't give in to special interests. Keep the strong mandates.

Carly Clements Owens

Alamo, 94507

Please don't weaken the RSAP. So much progress has been made in the past decade and it would be a shame to go backwards now. Our Bay Area is so beautiful and unique, let's keep working to restore the waters and shoreline.

Heinrich Albert

Alameda, 94501

Please emphasize Nature Based approaches to keeping our shorelines protected and adapted.

Elizabeth Maier

Orinda, 94563

Please give the gift to my grandchildren of a protected shoreline!

Joanne Scott

Daly City, 94014

Please guarantee and protect a sustainable future for Bay ecosystems and communities

Celeste Evans

San Carlos, 94070

PLEASE help to PROTECT our extraordinary planet from being irreversibly destroyed by failing to keep strong protections in place. PLEASE PROTECT OUR LANDS BEFORE IT IS TOO LATE!!! Our natural treasures are what we ALL need to live a good life. Thank you

Elizabeth Hanes

Sunnyvale, 94086

Please keep the RSAP strong for our community's health and safety. I'm especially concerned for my family members in East Palo Alto.

Bob Haxo



Pacifica, 94044

Please keep the RSAP strong! There has already been tooo much damage the SF Bay shoreline. Bob Haxo, Pacifica

Chris MacIntosh

Redwood City, 94063

Please keep the RSAP's requirements as just that: requirements. I want to ensure that nature and nature-based solutions are a required consideration, not merely a 'nice to have' afterthought. Living in an low-income neighborhood, it's important to me that

Susan Desjardin

Pacifica, 94044

Please protect our shoreline and Bay for future generations. We need to prioritize natural solutions and nature-based adaptation to be ready for sea level rise. Please ensure that contamination gets cleaned up and that communities on the Bay are protect

Ben Lomond

95005

Please protect our water and wildlife.

Phyllis Chu

San Francisco, 94134

PLEASE STRENGTHEN NATURAL ECOSYSTEMS TO COMBAT SEA LEVEL RISE WHICH IS
DEFINITELY HAPPENING RIGHT NOW AND WILL GET WORSE AS GLACIERS DISAPPEAR
AROUND THE WORLD. DON'T ALLOW ANY BUILDING ON WHAT WILL SOON BE VENICE
STRUCTURES OF ENTIRE FIRST FLOORS UNDERW

David Olson

Palo Alto, 94303

Please strengthen RSAP standards to protect all bay area communities!

This is the best way to make them resilient to rising tides.

Noah Armstrong

San Jose, 95123

Please strengthen the Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan to help protect natural ecosystems of the Bay.



Susan Bernhard

Menlo Park CA, 94025

Please strengthen the RSAP - we need to protect ecosystems and public - develkopment should NOT be built up in sensitive marshland next to the Bay where it will become threatened as sea level rises.

Robin Abrams

Los Altos, 94023

Preservation/restoration cannot be ignored or delayed. Act now.

Jasmine Nguyen

Los Altos, 94022

Preserve the bay for future generations!

Corey Robertson

San Jose, 95125

Property holders and renters should at least have a way to protect their value

Aja Yee

94065

Protect homes for future generations of wildlife and humans!

Kim Walker

Oakland, 94611

Protect our environment. Don't reduce our Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan at this critical moment!

Richard Umstattd

San Jose, 95130

Protecting the bay shoreline will strengthen our resilience to climate change throughout the Bay Area

Rodger Silvers

Daly City, 94014

Recommendations are too often ignored. Please retain a strong regional shoreline adaption plan so that the Bay retains its health and character.

Margaret Piper Mcnulty



Cupertino, 95014 remember Pajaro Valley?

Jim Stewart

LAKEWOOD, 90712

Restoration of the Bay ecosystems is crucial now! Especially in the light of rising sea levels.

Andrea Anderson

San Mateo, 94402

Restore our sea shores and Bay

JM Ward

Santa Clara, 95051

Save our shoreline of the Bay. Protect our earth and birds, fish and other life who live at the bay

Maria Hennessy

San Jose, 95112

Save our wet lands!

Trent Dahle

Fremont, 94536

Save the Bay to its full potential

Carissa Garcia

Hollister, 95023

Save the bay!

Ann Dorsey

Northridge, 91325

Sea level rise actions need to be coordinated across human and natural needs. A strong RASP will help do that.

Jean Tepperman

Berkeley, 94703

Sea level rise is a huge threat to coastal communities. We need the best, most environmentally sustainable, and socially just strategies



Lauren Swezey

Palo Alto, 94301

Sea level rise is imminent. We already see flooding at various points along the Bay during heavy rains and King Tides. Now is the time to implement the RSAP and nature- based solutions before it is too late! Please, do the right thing and DO NOT water dow

Star St John

San Jose, 95124

Sea level rise is our reality. Approve a strong plan!

Kim Walker

Oakland, 94611

Sea level rise will happen whether we plan for it or not. Help our society avoid the worst impacts.

Sandra Bardas

Menlo Park, 94025

Short term gains can lead to long term failures. We need to learn from the past. Save our water quality and our shoreline. Let nature assistin water conservation and flood control.

Lisa Conrad

Menlo Park, 94025

So important to have a strong RSAP in place. It'll only be more and more expensive to deal with the longer it's put off. Strong RSAP now!

Roberta LaFrance

San Leandro, 94579

Stand Strong to keep our Bay resilient and thriving for decades

Bruce Coston

Sunnyvale, 94087

Stop all the insanity. Use Minimum Income and Marcus Schulze 2x Condorcet Compliant Vote Counting to meet United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights part 21.3.

Email: sf.baychapter@sierraclub.org

Bruce Coston

Sunnyvale, 94087



Stop all the insanity . Use Minimum Income and Marcus Schulze's 2x Condorcet Compliant Vote Counting to meet United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights part 21.3 .

Katie Court

Grass Valley, 95945

Strengthen RSAP to protect the parts of SF Bay that have been so beloved to my family for generations

Mark Heim

Sunnyvale, 94089

Strong protections for wetlands are absolutely critical going forward. Allowing developers to impinge on the bay shoreline now will only enable them to beg and plead for government funded mitigation measures later as they are faced with sea level rise.

Cheryl Weiden

Los Altos, 94022

Suggestions don't work. They are like "Voluntary Agreements." Get real and do strengthen RSAP so it works.

Kristin Mercer

Belmont, 94002

Terms like "encourage", "consider", "minimize" in a planning document render that plan pointless. Today cities are permitting huge buildings on the bay shoreline where tide will be lapping at their door in 40 years, and groundwater will rise into their ba

Patricia Plowden

San Francisco, 94133

Thank you for taking global warming seriously! Please continue to require these stringent standards to help protect the Bay area.

Sara Judge

San Francisco, 94107

Thank you so much for your service, please protect our wildlife, shoreline ecosystems, and public health.

Donna Scheifler

Redwood City, 94062



The Bay Area needs a strong RSAP that prioritizes equity, natural and nature-based solutions (NNBS), and safety from contamination threats.

Tricia Dolkas

Palo Alto, 94301

The Bay is a complex ecosystem and all parts of need equal protection! With climate deniers at the Feder level, we need the strongest protections for our area.

Gita Dev

Woodside, 94062

The BAY itself is at risk from sea level rise and it is the center of our identity, our economy and our wildlife- integral to our Bay Area culture. We have to make sure that what cities do around the Bay is NOT build a bathtub with levees. The parts of the Bay that give me peace are when I feel that I am communing with the Bay and the sky and the birds. It's nice to be at a wharf edge but that is usually bustling with people and businesses.

Haley Govorko

San Jose, 95136

The coming effects of climate change are inevitable and we have so much to protect for the future of California.

Rosemary Jarrett

San Francisco, 94105

The entire Bay is home to ocean fish and birds and is the shoreline for human habitat, as well as being a beautiful open space. The Bay must be preserved, restored and remain healthy and safe for all to thrive and enjoy.

Tahir Naim

Santa Clara, 95050

The flooding in Valencia, Spain and in our own nation shows that things do not go well when building in what we know is, or will be, a flood zone. Walls do not protect us when water flows underground and raises the water table. Don't permit a mistake we w

Nancy Hollander

Oakland, 94618

The future of my four grandchildren depends on what you do to mitigate the effects of climate heating -- please act to preserve our earth and oceans for future generations.



Carol Van Sant

Oakland, 94611

The health of our Bay measures the health of all beings. Keep protections at the highest levels, please.

Chris Mac

Cupertino, 95014

The interface of Bay and land-side areas is not a firm line: the land areas will benefit from nature and nature-based solutions being included as a primary consideration.

Alden Jenks

Oakland, 94609

The Pacific is very very large. Cheap developments will not resist it.

Stuart Flashman

Oakland, 94618

The past history of the Bay shoreline has left a legacy of multiple high-contaminated site. The buried toxics at the site are subject to mobilization and spready from sea level and groundwater rise. The health of the Bay's ecology and communities depend o

Ann Schneider

Millbrae, 94030

The region has allowed SFO almost unlimited growth. Taking the Bay from the people and nature of Millbrae and San Bruno. Now you seem to think it is simply ok to just keep on filling the Bay even when it will bring more noise, more air pollution, more h

Thomas Musial

94041

The San Francisco Bay is the most important asset of our region. It regulates temperature and tidal impacts, provides for recreation, and is home to thousands of plant and animal species. Encroaching further onto and around the bay with development would

Marilynn Smith

San Jose, 95127

The San Francisco Bay is vital to ecological health in the region and preventing development means a better chance of mitigating rising sea levels.



Gregory Fite

Hayward, 94541

The San Francisco Bay wetlands are not only vital for life; they cleanse the Bay and absorb carbon. They also provide a buffer against sea level rise. This is why they are essential to our environment.

Winter Dellenbach

PALO ALTO, 94306

The tipping point has arrived. You now may return to a strong RSAP with a focus on regional cooperation to protect vulnerable communities and ecosystems from sea level rise, or you may ratify the weakened final draft RSAP that undermines its purpose and g

Nancy Roberts

San Francisco, 94127

These days in particular, the Commission needs to stand strong and stand up to short-sighted pro development groups.

Michael Painter

San Francisco, 94121

This is an important issue, one that BCDC needs to approach in a more serious way than currently proposed.

Sophia Jacobs

Benicia, 94510

This is extremely important for my future and the future of your children and their grandchildren. If not for yourself, please support this for them.

Frank Lorch

San Francisco, CA 94122

This is important because if we fail to adopt natural and nature-based solutions to facilitate adaptation to sea level rise, our baylands and all the life they support will suffer needlessly.

Marinell Daniel

El Sobrante, 94803

This is one of the most effective and economical way to meet the challenge of our rising sea levels. We must do all we can to keep our surviving marsh lands.



Kim Harvey

Palo Alto, 94301

This is so important!

A.B.

Santa Clars, 95051

Vile foul corps and bought corrupt pols befoul infest USA.

Jeffrey Wildt

San Mateo, 94401

Want to keep my house and those of everyone else safe- do the right thing.

JI Angell

Rescue, 95672

Watering down is how you get people killed--preventable deaths if all public servants do their jobs adequately.

Ruth Stoner Muzzin

Montara, 94037

We all benefit from a living, breathing shoreline. Part of BCDC's job is to ensure that the present and future effects of climate change on shoreline habitat and natural resources are not exponentially exacerbated by failure to mitigate existing contamin

Jean Severinghaus

Greenbrae, 94904

We count on BCDC to REQUIRE smart shoreline protections. No bowing to developments.

Melanie Barnett

94089

We have lived quite close to the Bay for many years and value its beauty, biodiversity, and utility in mitigating the damaging effects of climate change. Like many other Bay Area residents, we don't want to see the RSAP standards weakened. We need mandate

Mark Heim

Sunnyvale, 94089

We have seen numerous examples of entities attempting to develop areas of bay shoreline that will undoubtedly be impacted by sea level rise, at which point these



entities will be begging for public funding for expensive and intrusive measures such as sea

Steven Hayashi

Los Gatos, 95032

We must do everything we can to preserve the Bay

Elizabeth Moreno

San Jose, 95117

We must not only preserve, but enhance, the Bay shorelines' capacity to withstand the water level rise that is already beginning. Development will reduce shoreline absorption and runoff from paved and cemented development will exacerbate the damage. The S

Mari & Wayne Miller

Newark, 94560

We must strengthen the Regional Sea Level Rise Plan (RSAP), with strong emphasis on advocacy efforts for BCDC' commitment to regional coordination. BCDC must adopt a regionally coordinated approach to prioritize equity, natural and nature-based solutions

Ann Dorsey

Northridge, 91325

We must take decisive action to mitigate climate change impacts.

Bruce Naegel

Mouintain View, 94040

We need a good plan for addressing sea level rise. A strong plan with real milestones tracking the progress is important to successfully addressing the situation

Peter Munoz-Cowan

Hollister, 95023

We need a strong plan to protect and preserve and expand the bay shoreline for now and future generations of bay area residents. Please take a tough stand on protecting our precious resource for the future. Thanks.

Nina Atkind

San Francisco, 94122

We need a strong regional approach centering equity to protect us all. One watershed.



Gavrilah Wells

San Francisco, 94114

We need a strong Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan

Rod Kirk

San Jose, 95148

We need a strong RSAP! Do what is right!

Susan Schoenung

Menlo Park, 94025

We need a sustainable plan for as sustainable shoreline!

Claire Broome

Berkeley, 94708

We need sustainable adaptation that improves the Bay. Please strengthen the plan.

Gail Sredanovic

Menlo Park. 94025

We need to do the very best job of this we can.

Janice Jones

El Cerrito, 94530

We need to prepare for rising sea level. Now! Before it's too late.

Querido Galdo

Oakland, 94601

We need to protect and preserve our Bay for a host of reasons, from economic to environmental. We rely on the Bay for so many things and must have a strong and meaningful RSAP that prioritizes equitable access and benefits, solutions that cause the least

Annie Luetkemeyer

Hillsborough, 94010

We need to protect our bay's resilience. The shoreline is part of what makes the Bay Area such an incredible natural resource!

Email: sf.baychapter@sierraclub.org

E Muriel Gravina

Palo Alto, 94301



We need to protect our planet Earth for future generations.

Bruce Naegel

Mountain View, 94040

We need to protect our shoreline. Thanks for working this issue.

Greg Piligian

Fremont, 94536

We need to severely restrict if not eliminate altogether new development along the Bay shoreline. Returning these spaces to open land and/or marshland will protect development that is already in place at a lower cost than having to retrofit seawalls and

Annette Pirrone

San Anselmo, 94960

We need to stand strong and make best choices for our shoreline for the long run!

Sherrill Futrell

Davis. 95618

We've fought for decades to protect the Bay. Listen to us and DO IT. Thanks.

Patricia Reinhart

Daly City, 94015

We've just opened two shoreline parks---don't endanger them!

Robert Rand

San Jose, 95120

Wetlands and coastal conservation are critical in the success of our great state in the future. Without preservation and strict conservation it could all be lost harming humans and the abundant resources and wildlife that currently exist on our beautiful

William Buchan

Union City, 94587

While I support development, we need to do so in a sustainable way. Please do not sacrifice our seats level rise plan to advance unsustainable development that will add to the climate change problem.

Email: sf.baychapter@sierraclub.org

Brian Forney

Mountain View, 94040



With sea level rise happening and forecast to continue to happen, we need to be very intentional about where we build and about the interface between groundwater and seawater and land and water. Preserving land at this interface leads to better outcome.

Sheila Brady

Woodside, 94062

You were given the public trust of putting these rules in place to protect what the rest of us as individuals cannot protect. Your job is to set the bar as high as possible. No one LIKES dealing with the Coastal Commission. TOO BAD!

Joanne Barnes

Palo Alto, 94306

Peg Albrets

Cupertino, 95014

Cheryl Weiden

Los Altos, 94022

Mary Gill

Stanford, 94305

James Petkiewicz

San Jose, 95125

Jeffrey Barile

San Carlos, 94070

Lorna Groundwater

Hillsborough, 94010

Julie Hilborn

SAN CARLOS, 94070

Judith Butts

Mountain View, 94040

Ruby Mitchell



Cupertino, 95014

B. Lerner

San Jose, 95125

John Haynes

Pacifica, 94044

Susan Herting

Oakland, 94619

Greg Thomson

Sausalito, 94965

Theresa Gonzalez

Los Gatos, 95030

Stephen Rosenblum

Palo Alto, 94301

Akemi Tom

San Francisco, 94116

Freda Hofland

Los Altos Hills, 94022

Cynthia Rudolph

Brisbane, 94005

Chris Loo

Morgan Hill, 95020

William Henzel

95127

June Cancell

Menlo Park, 94025



Jon Silver

Portola Valley, 94028

Laura Sternberg

San Jose, 95124

Kevin Schader

Pleasant Hill, 94523

Mario Guzman

San Jose, 95112

Luci Evanston

San Bruno, 94066

Stephen Scholl-Buckwald

Oakland, 94611

Katherine Silvey

Martinez, 94553

Margaret Hodges

94110

Susan MacKenzie

Kentfield, 94904

Margaret Fowler

San Francisco, 94122

Connie Rogers

Gilroy, 95020

keith rhinehart

Santa Clara, 95050

Inger Acking

Berkeley, 94710



Angela Gantos

Tiburon, 94920

NH.

San Mateo, 94403

Mimi Abers

94707

Nancy Havassy

Oakland, 94611

Marilyn Price

Mill Valley, 94941

Bruce England

Mountain View, 94043

Mary Rose Lebaron

San Mateo, 94402

Blake Wu

Lafayette, 94549

Russell Stone

San Jose, 95148

Suehan Estrada

San Leandro, 94579

Jennifer Hazen

beavercreek, 97004

R. Zierikzee

San Francisco, 94118

Carlin Black



San Jose, 95129

Alan Goldhammer

Orinda, 94563

Kermit Cuff

Mountain View, 94041

James Stamos

Saratoga, 95070

Roberta Stern

Oakland, 94618

carol schaffer

san pablo, 94806

patricia Kennedy-Riske

Lafayette, 94549

Ernest Pacheco

Hayward, 94544

Ann Pinkerton

Oakland, 94618

Tom Hagler

Redwood City, 94061

Warren M. Gold

Mill Valley, 94941

LAUREN SCHIFFMAN

El Cerrito, 94530

Steven Hayashi

Los Gatos, 95032



John Bowers

Oakland, 94602

Nancy Leech

East Palo Alto, 94303

Ben Martin

Mtn View, 94040

Paula Cavagnaro

Livermore, 94550

B Sandow

Richmond, 94804

Kate Ague

Menlo Prk, 94025

H Leabah Winter

Alameda, 94501

Rush Rehm

Emerald Hills, 94062

Stephen Ludwig

Pacifica, 94044

Katrina Castillo

San Mateo, 94401

Warren M. Gold

Mill Valley, 94941

Diana Morgan-Hickey

95129

John Oda

San Francisco, 94115



Raquel Narvios

San Francisco, 94134

Jeffrey Hemenez

San Ramon, 94583

Martin Horwitz

San Francisco, 94122

Cathren Murray

Richmond, 94801

Christopher Ware

Fremont, 94539

Ernie Walters

Union City Ca, 94587

Susan Sherk

Berkeley, 94702

Leslie Smith

Oakland, 94611

Judy Maclean

Berkeley, 94704

Ermie Walters

Union City Ca, 94587

Mark Golembiewski

Pacifica, 94044

Dawna Knapp

95621

Joel Soloksky



Walnut Creek, 94595

Judith Borcz

Redwood City, 94062

Merrily Robinson

Redwood City, 94061

Carla Holmes

Los Altos, 94022

Alan Carlton

Alameda, 94501

Michael Paris

Martinez, 94553

Liana Warren

Oakland, 94611

Suzanne Hall-Whitney

Martinez, 94553

James Hieronymus

Portola Valley, 94028

Joslyn Baxter

Mill Valley, 94941

Katja Irvin

San Jose, 95116

Karen Maki

Menlo Park, 94025

Lisa Zimmer-Chu

El Cerrito, 94530



Kelly Larsen

Gilroy, 95020

Donna Mize

94805, 94805

Mia Rahman

Oakland, 94602

Becky Kosovsky

Belmont, 94002

Camille Raynaud

San Francisco, 94133

Elisa Zody

ROSEVILLE, 95747

Sophia Wilhelmi

San Jose, 95116

Luiza Velloso

San Francisco, 94117

Meghan Kaff

Roseville, 95747

Zander Swon

Lansing, 48823

Amanda Jane Ross

San francisc o, 94102

Alison Schwartz

San Francisco, 94123

Lauryn Cummins

San Rafael, 94903



Linah Yae

Caledonia, 49316

Bryn Smith

Honolulu, 96817

Jadzia Davidson

Redwood city, 94065

Brie Peterson

San Francisco, 94121

Jason Avilez

San Francisco, 94122

Leonie Terfort

Mill Valley, 94941

Mark Golembiewski

Pacifica, 94044

Tom Hagler

Redwood City, 94061

Nancy Rieser

CROCKETT, 94525

Sybille Katz

Menlo Park, 94025

Parvati Dutta

Los Altos Hills, 94022

Joyce Crews

Mill Valley, 94941

Nancy Keith Kelly



Nipomo, 93444

Martin Horwitz

San Francisco, 94122

Elizabeth Devincenzi

San Jose, 95135

JI Angell

Rescue, 95672

Susan Von Schmacht

Watsonville, 95076

Jane Nachazel-Ruck

Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA, 90026

Aj Cho

San Leandro, 94579

Lynn Hoang

Fullerton, 92833

Stephanie Clark

Concord, 94520

Rashid Patch

Oakland, 94621

Bill Wood

mount shasta, 96067

Melodi Gulsen

Fullerton, 92831

Charlene Kerchevall

Oceanside, 92054



Dita Kali

Moravske Toplice, 9226

Pamela Hamilton

keller, 76244

L Gourley

San Francisco, 94117

Dan Kittredge

La Mesa, 91941

Sharon Paltin

Laytonville, 95454

Karen Kirschling

SF, 94117

Querido Galdo

Oakland, 94601

Marilyn Price

Mill Valley, 94941

Howard Cohen

Palo Alto, 94306

Aj Cho

San Leandro, 94579

Paul Glassner

Oakland, 94611

Caryn Graves

Berkeley, 94702

Avroh Shah

Palo Alto, 94303



Michele Tusinac

Oakland, 94609

Bruce England

Mountain View, 94043

Allen Leinwand

San Jose, 95124

Kathy Ruppel

Stanford, 94305

Chris Loo

Morgan Hill, 95020

Amor Fati

94306

Mario Guzman

San Jose, 95112

Karl Bane

La Honda, 94020

Kermit Cuff

Mountain View, 94041

Laura Sternberg

San Jose, 95124

Ted Fishman

san jose, 95123

Joanne Barnes

Palo Alto, 94306

William Henzel



95127

Carlin Black

San Jose, 95129

Lisa Conrad

Menlo Park, 94025

Merrily Robinson

Redwood City, 94061

Mary Gill

Stanford, 94305

Cheryl Weiden

Los Altos, 94022

Katja Irvin

San Jose, 95116

Lawrence Garwin

Palo Alto, 94301

Terry Jacobs

Redwood City, 94061

Ben Martin

Mtn View, 94040

Stephen Rosenblum

Palo Alto, 94301

Russell Stone

San Jose, 95148

Freda Hofland

Los Altos Hills, 94022



Jane & Steve Hindman

Los Altos, 94024

Susan Herring

94044

Jon Silver

Portola Valley, 94028

Diana Morgan-Hickey

95129

Alice Nguyen

San Jose, 95136

Tom Hagler

Redwood City, 94061

Nona Weiner

San jose, 95127

Lynne Slater

Novato, 94949

Alejandra Bellavance

Morgan Hill, 95037

Mark Golembiewski

Pacifica, 94044

Julie Hilborn

SAN CARLOS, 94070

Carla Holmes

Los Altos, 94022

Singgih Tan

San Jose, 95123



Omar Pena

94089

Shannon Healey

Menlo Park, 94025

Chad Kapusta

Oceanside, CA 92056

Audrey Quintero

redwood city, 94062

Fran Rovetto

Campbell, 95008

Erica Varela

Hollister, 95023

Susan Shain

Oakland, 94606

J Barry Gurdin

San Francisco, 94122

Paulette Langguth

Oakland, 94618

Carolyn Cheng

94549

Nicole Beeck

San Jose, 95112

Byron Brown

El Cerrito, 94530

Rosa Rodriguez



San Jose, 95111

Robert Weissburg

Morgan Hill, 95037

Yvonne Miles

Richmond, 94804

Pam Stello

Richmond, 94804

Nancy Federspiel

Menlo Park, 94025

Erh-Yen To

Sacramento, 95835

J Barry Gurdin

San Francisco, 94122

Utkarsh Nath

Fremont, 94555

Noah Haydon

Daly City, 94015

Audrey Quintero

redwood city, 94062

Phyllis Hatch

Santa Clara, 95054

Ron Parsons

South San Francisco, 94080

Nancy Federspiel

Menlo Park, 94025



Jay Muir

Foster City, 94404

Wendi Reed

Hollister, 95023

Angela Clayton Oceanside, 92056

Jack Cooper

Los Angeles, CA 90066

J Angell

Rescue, CA 95672

Pastor Lyda Eddington

LOS ANGELES, CA 90045

Elizabeth Ramsey

Davis, 95616

Mark Cappetta

Rancho Mirage, 92270

Arthur Feinstein

San Francisco, CA 94107

Shirley Rodda

San Jose, CA 95121

Joslyn Baxter

94941

Christopher Ware

Fremont, CA 94539

Dalia Salgado

Los Angeles, 90017



Rachael Denny Bradley, CA 93426

Arlene C. Van Craeynest Novato, CA 94947

Elizabeth Estes Pasadena, CA 91107

Sara Van Dusen 94303

Terry PotenteSan Francisco, 94117

Elizabeth Levy Richmond, 94805

Jamie Green ventura, 93004

Darrell Neft Costa Mesa, 92626

Edward Landler Los Angeles, 90065

Therese Debing Pacific Grove, 93950

Elizabeth Lee SAN BERNADINO, 92402

Steve SketoBakersfield, 93312

Aj Cho



San Leandro, 94579

Davin Peterson

Eureka, 95503

Ms. Courtney

Orange, 92866

Saran Kirschbaum

Los Angeles, 90035

Joy Baker

Petaluma, 94952

William Briggs

90254

Candy Bowman

Placerville, 95667

Amira Mansour

Irvine, 92612

Robert Naumann

Eugene, 97402

Karen Kirschling

94117

Linda Morgan

San Pablo, 94806

Gita Dev

Woodside, 94062

Sharee Sharee

San Francisco, 94112



Mark Cappetta

Rancho Mirage, 92270

Querido Galdo

Oakland, 94601

Diana Morgan-Hickey

San Jose, 95129

Rachael Denny

Bradley, 93426

Bob Nace

Pleasant Hill, 94523

Christopher Ware

Fremont, 94539

Matt Williams

Oakland, 94602

Erh-Yen To

95835

Jimmie Lunsford

San Diego, 92116

Karyl Lee-Figueroa

San Leandro, 94577

Nora Coyle

Anaheim, 92807

Terry Potente

San Francisco, 94117

Fred Brooks

Calimesa, 92320



Kerri Mcgoldrick

Castro Valley, 94546

Daniel Prechtel

El Cerrito, 94530

Jim Hough

Fremont, 94555

Andrea Graff

San Francisco, 94131

Ronnie Bogart

San Anselmo, 94960

Michael Gliksohn

Richmond, 94804

Marianne Mitosinka

Piedmont, 94611

Martha Booz

El Sobrante, 94803

Maxine Flasher-Duzgunes

Mill Valley, 94941

Michael Price

San Francisco, 94109

Kim Kersh

Oakland, 94611

Dino Lucas

San Francisco, 94131

Trent Orr



San Francisco, 94117

Monica Ventrice Loma Mar, 94021

Jennifer Price Fremont, 94539

James Walker Walnut Creek, 94598

Lea Boyle Danville, 94526

Liz BrookingSan Francisco, 94109

Peter LeeSan Francisco, 94118

Daniel Feldman San Francisco, 94107

Anne Barker San Rafael, 94903

Jamie LeDent Alameda, 94501

Sally Marone Livermore, 94550

Rebecca Eiseman San Francisco, 94102

Michael Kavanaugh San Francisco, 94116



Kevin Schader

Pleasant Hill, 94523

Jeffrey Hemenez

San Ramon, 94583

Noreen Weeden

San Francisco, 94107

Stewart Wilber

San Francisco, 94114

Greg Thomson

Sausalito, 94965

Paul Glassner

Oakland, 94611

Jazzmyne Oda

San Francisco, 94115

Barbara Brunell

Martinez, 94553

Alan Schwartz

Lafayette, 94549

Ann Hawkins

Hayward, 94545

Sharon Hefke

San Francisco, 94123

Kevin Jensen

Novato, 94947

Marina Marcroft

Oakland, 94602



Joslyn Baxter Mill Valley, 94941

Jason Lachick Albany, 94706

Dyan OsborneBrentwood, 94513

Marjory Keenan Berkeley, 94703

Joel Soloksky Walnut Creek, 94595

Warren Gold Mill Valley, 94941

Abram Blackwood Piedmont, 94611

Charles Wieland San Ramon, 94583

Anthony Saucier San Francisco, 94117

Michael Friedman El Sobrante, 94803

Carlene Visperas Concord, 94521

Greg PenningtonSan Francisco, 94109

Christine Goldin



Berkeley, 94709

Lisa Henderson

San Francisco, 94133

Kathleen Petricca

Martinez, 94553

Lisa Martinez

San Francisco, 94110

Liam Murphy

San Francisco, 94110

Pamela Kahn

Sausalito, 94965

Janet Parkins

Oakland, 94611

David Perry

San Francisco, 94109

Alice Polesky

San Francisco, 94107

Kim Richmeier

San Ramon, 94582

Mariano Marquez III

San Francisco, 94124

Donna Jones

Herndon, 20171

Vrinda Manglik

Oakland, 94609



David Wofford

Oakland, 94606

Kathleen Hess

Berkeley, 94705

Filomeno Narvios

San Francisco, 94134

Adrian Fried

Novato, 94947

Lin Griffith

Oakland, 94619

Jessica Burg

Danville, 94506

Misti Reif

San Francisco, 94118

Yani Zou

Mountain View, 94040

Felix M

Milpitas, 95035

Timothy Sheehan

San Rafael, 94903

Eugene Jung

Concord, 94518

Kate Heller

Oakland, 94607

Susan Abby

San Francisco, 94122



Susann Nicol

Alameda, 94501

Trevor Spray

Alameda, 94502

Wanda Nichols

San Francisco, 94115

J Barry Gurdin

San Francisco, 94122

Heidi Dietz

Alameda, 94501

Neal Steiner

Los Angeles, 90034

Keith Rhinehart

Santa Clara, 95050

John Landmann

San Diego, 92122

Hank Schlinger

Glendale, 91201

David Philleo

Scotts Valley, 95066

Michael Sarabia

Stockton, 95207

Howard Cohen

Palo Alto, 94306

Suzanne Narducy



San Clemente, 92673

Jacoba Dolloff

La Mesa, 91941

Gina White

Los Gatos, 95032

Esther Mcegan

Burlingame, 94010

Douglas Kraus

Tarzana, 91356

Elisse De Sio

San Carlos, 94070

James Mcpherson

Santa Clara, 95051

Luz Cobarrubias

San Francisco, 94114

Kathleen Fernandez

Yorba Linda, 92887

Stewart Winchester

Richmond, 94805

Becky Bacon

Rio Linda, 95673

Jennifer Hetterly

Palo Alto, CA 94303

Alice Macallistet

Cupertino, 95014



Allen Leinwand

San Jose, 95124

Samson Tu

Palo Alto, 94303

David Ahrens

Campbell, 95125

Herb Hwang

Half Moon Bay, 94019

Sue Hall

94546

Suehan Estrada

San Leandro, 94579

Margaret Fowler

San Francisco, 94122

Greg Thomson

Sausalito, 94965

Ruth & Michael Burman

San Carlos, 94070

Katja Irvin

San Jose, 95116

Melissa Nelson

Pacifica, 94044

Susan Herting

Oakland, 94619

James Stamos

Saratoga, 95070



H Leabah Winter

Alameda, 94501

Barbara Sandow

Richmond, 94804

Katrina Castillo

94401

Warren Gold

Mill Valley, 94941

Diana Morgan-Hickey

San Jose, 95129

Ernest Scholten

Mississauga, 88310

Jeffrey Hemenez

San Ramon, 94583

Karen Irwin

San Francisco, 94118

Martin Horwitz

San Francisco, 94122

Patricia Plowden

San Francisco, 94133

Samantha Runke

94041

Michael Paris

Martinez, 94553

Suzanne Hall-Whitney



Martinez, 94553

Linda Tierney

San Jose, 95111

H. Daniels

Gilroy, 95020

Sue Dileanis

San Jose, 95120

Maria Samsonov

SF, 94122

Donna Mize

Richmond, 94805

Meghan Kaff

Roseville, 95747

Barbara Rhine

Oakland, 94618

Jadzia Davidson

Redwood city, 94065

Marlene Zamora

La Mirada, 90638

Marilynn Smith

San Jose, 95127

June Cancell

94025

Cynthia Rudolph

Brisbane, 94005



Judith Borcz

Redwood City, 94062

Jeff and Stephane Barile

San Carlos, 94070

Connie Rogers

Gilroy, 95002

Maria Domaica

Palo Alto, 94303

Sigal Tzoore

Palo Alto, 94303

Kermit Cuff

Mountain View, 94041

Laura Sternberg

San Jose, 95124

Bill Leikam

Mountain View, 94040

Gary Delcourt

Marine City, 48039

June Cancell

94025

Stephanie Reader

Los Altos, 94024

Phillip Farrell

Palo Alto, 94303

Judith Butts

Mountain View, 94040



E Peterson

San Josde, 95125

Susan Von Schmacht

Watsonville, 95076

Lynn Hoang

92833

Melodi Gulsen

Fullerton, 92831

Dita Kali

92260

L Gourley

San Francisco, 94117

Dan Kittredge

La Mesa, 91941

Kenneth Lapointe

Los Angeles, 90031

Christopher Lish

San Rafael, 94903

bitaSupporter Edwa

Woodacre, 94973

John Harris

Sunnyvale, 94089

Richard St Angelo

Cloverdale, 95425

Monica Duclaud



San Francisco, 94107

Jm Ward

Santa Clara, 95051

Stephen Ludwig

Pacifica, 94044

Michael Pelizzari

Milpitas, 95035

Nabeel Al-Shamma

Mountain View, 94041

Donald Weiden

Los Altos, 94022

Ada Melter

Los Gatos, 95032

Joan and Alan Hebert

Menlo Park, 94025

Naomi Goodman

94025

Michael Colgan

SAN MATEO, 94403

Nancy Leech

East Palo Alto, 94303

Tom Hagler

Redwood City, 94061

Sid Patil

Fremont, 94555



Fran Rovetto

95008

Ronald & Sandra Harder

Los Gatos, 95033

Rosa Rodriguez

San Jose, 95111

Susan Abby

San Francisco, 94122

Jenna Haut

95747

Nina Goodale

Redwood City, 94065

Ron Parsons

South San Francisco, 94080

John McKenna

Menlo Park, 94025

Kevin Kraft

Menlo Park, 94025

William Devereux

Milpitas, 95035

Kerry Mccarthy

Chico, 95926

Jenna Haut

95747

Suzanne Lenhart

Westminster, 92683



Valerie Mih El Cerrito, 94530

Jacqueline Martin San Jose, 95136

Jimmie Lunsford San Diego, 92116

Brian ForneyMountain View, 94040

Janice Mitchell East Palo Alto, 94303

From: Julie Groves <juliegroves111@gmail.com> **Sent:** Wednesday, December 4, 2024 8:31 PM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov>

Subject: meeting on RSAP dec 5th

Regarding the language that is used in RSAP

I am worried there **currently is not consistent minimum criteria and standards that will apply to all regions**, all communities, to ensure coordination. Especially in this current divisive political climate, regional coordination matters so one jurisdiction's response to sea level rise doesn't negatively impact their neighboring communities. **Working together will be our strength**. Without strong, actionable language, we risk fragmenting our response to sea level rise and missing the opportunity to build a strong foundation for region-wide sea level rise resiliency using strong language for nature based solutions that will last decades into the future. Thank you, BCDC, for all you have accomplished in a short time.

Julie Kortum Groves

From: Sally Tobin <otwsally@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 4, 2024 8:41 PM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov>

Subject: SUBJECT: 12/5/2024: Item 9

Dear Bay Conservation and Development Commissioners and Staff:

In the text of the current RSAP draft, there are eleven references to sediment. Most of these references are about "beneficial sediment re-use," with one mention that sediment removal might disrupt contaminated sites, and a second mention that contamination might be released into sediments. A Sediment Manager is named. However, there is no mention of a requirement that sediment will be tested for contamination before it is moved to a new location. This is an important oversight because itt is not a good idea to spread contamination into new locations.

Testing is important so that toxic contamination can be identified, instead of risking exposure of new communities and environments to unknown toxins. There are several excellent references in the RSAP draft about looking for previously unknown contaminated sites, so this principle should apply to sediment as well.

One example of sediment that is known to be massively contaminated is the United Heckathorn Superfund Site is in the Port of Richmond. It was cleaned up by the Federal EPA, starting in 1994, but now the EPA regards that cleanup as a failure because the levels of DDT contamination in sediment consistently exceed remediation standards. It would be disastrous if sediment from this area were to be moved to marshland where birds would be overwintering because of DDT's effects on eggshells. DDT is toxic to humans as well, and it persists in the environment.

Please add a requirement to the draft that all sediment must be tested for contamination before it is moved to new locations. Identification of contaminated sediment is a critical component for informed decision making. The RSAP draft already has an appropriate statement about contamination: The Federal EPA and California state agencies are to evaluate and determine how contamination will be handled. Presumably this process would involve informing the public as sediment re-use is being considered.

Best regards, Sally Tobin Richmond Resident and Retired Biologist From: Sara Greenwald <saragreenwald2@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, December 5, 2024 9:59 AM

To: BCDC PublicComment <publiccomment@bcdc.ca.gov> **Subject:** Comment: Regional Shoreline Adaptation Plan

My name is Sara Greenwald and I'm speaking for 350 Bay Area, which is a member of the Shoreline Coalition.

We like this plan and want it to be stronger. You acknowledge that we need to use everything, from the sea walls and levees to the natural features of healthy shorelines that do more than we can to defend the land against the effects of the rising water we know is on the way.

We need to make sure this plan really defends the shoreline's natural wildlife habitats and the species of birds and fish and plants and animals that live in them - the whole ecosystems - because we must not let them fail. We need their ability to soak up the floodwater, purify the water, and sequester carbon to help purify the air. And as the waters move inland over the coming decades, they will move too, if we give them the space. They're the best insurance we have.

In the standard, **BCDC** has already recognized the many beneficial uses of the Bay shorelines as spaces for public enjoyment and for wetlands to thrive and expand, as well as places to build the seawalls and levees. We ask that you build on the draft to make sure all jurisdictions really implement the measures to protect and enhance the great benefit of these shorelines.

BCDC has created a remarkable plan that can drive positive change in our region. We urge BCDC to strengthen this plan, to seize the opportunity to safeguard our resiliency to sea level rise.